

# Preoperative Neutrophil: Lymphocyte Ratio, Platelet: Lymphocyte Ratio, and C-Reactive Protein Levels Predictive Value in Determining the Severity of Breast Mass

Aida Alizamir<sup>1</sup> , Sakineh Dehghan Azad<sup>1</sup> , Azar Pirdehghan<sup>2</sup> , Arash Moradi<sup>3\*</sup> 

1. Department of Pathology, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran
2. Department of Community Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran
3. Department of Medical Biotechnology, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Tehran, Iran

## KEYWORDS

Biomarkers, Blood Platelets, Breast Neoplasms, C-Reactive Protein, Inflammation, Neutrophils, Lymphocytes

Scan to discover online



Main Subjects:  
Breast Pathology

Received 16 Sep 2021;

Accepted 20 Jul 2022;

Published Online 02 Sep 2022;

 [10.30699/IJP.2022.539042.2727](https://doi.org/10.30699/IJP.2022.539042.2727)

## ABSTRACT

**Background & Objective:** Female breast cancer is one of the most prevalent malignancies among women. The critical step in managing breast cancer is to diagnose it accurately. Hence, peripheral blood-based tests are one of the most favorable and less invasive methods to study. Recent studies investigated and evaluated the inflammation parameters such as neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio (NLR), the platelet: lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and the C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. The elevation in mentioned parameters was proposed as a key factor in cancer progression. The main goal of this study was to investigate the association of NLR, PLR, and CRP levels in patients with breast lesions.

**Methods:** The NLR, PLR, and CRP levels were calculated from 200 female patients with either benign or malignant lesions.

**Results:** The cut-off values of NLR, PLR, and CRP were 1.24, 96, and 10.36 mg/L, respectively. A significant difference in NLR ( $P<0.001$ ), PLR ( $P<0.001$ ), and CRP levels ( $P<0.001$ ) were observed between the two major studied cohorts.

**Conclusion:** Elevated NLR, PLR, and CRP levels could predict the presence of malignancy. In addition to the low cost and properties of the mentioned methods, utilization of this data could facilitate and improve clinical decision-making for treatment.

## Corresponding Information:

Arash Moradi, Department of Medical Biotechnology, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Tehran, Iran Email: [arashmoradi93@outlook.com](mailto:arashmoradi93@outlook.com)

Copyright © 2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- 4.0 International License which permits Share, copy and redistribution of the material in any medium or format or adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

## Introduction

Breast masses are heterogeneous in etiology, and they are divided into two main groups, including benign and malignant masses. Noncancerous breast lesions, including fibroadenoma and mammary adenosis, are commonly diagnosed in adult women. They have heterogeneous histological origins, for instance, mammary epithelium or other mammary tissues (1, 2). On the other hand, female breast cancer (BC) is top-rated cancer with high incidence and mortality (3). Therefore, understanding BC biology helps to diagnose BC efficiently and accurately.

BC diagnosis at the early stages is one of the essential and beneficial aspects of BC management (4). Earlier, cancer research only focused on the cancer cell processes. Nowadays, the importance of the tumor microenvironment (TME) is emphasized (5). Therefore, numerous studies on the potential mechanisms of inflammation have been investigated and proposed in cancer pathogenesis (6). Undoubtedly, inflammatory cells and mediators in the TME are pivotal participants

in cancer cell proliferation and progression (7) and could be responsible for treatment response (8).

Neutrophils are suggested to have critical functions in the TME. They suppress the adaptive immune response in the TME and have a potential regulatory role in tumor progression (9). Markedly, neutrophils secrete Neutrophil Extracellular Traps (NETs), web-like structures comprising DNA fibers, histones, and antimicrobial proteins. They were discovered by Brinkmann and colleagues (10) and had been represented as traps for exogenous pathogens. In addition to the pivotal role of NETs as a host defense mechanism, NETs significantly impact cancer progression and metastatic dissemination (11).

Other main players are platelets, which contribute to hemostasis and thrombosis. The activated platelets stimulate cancer-associated inflammation by regulating hematopoietic and immune cell migration toward the tumor site. It is demonstrated that platelets and neutrophils have interactions via their surface and secreted molecules. These interactions lead to the blood clot and NETs formation, which promote cancer cell

metastasis and progression by concealing them from degradation (12).

Furthermore, investigators suggest that the systemic inflammatory response could be beneficial in stratifying cancer patients. Studies demonstrated a significantly elevated plasma concentration of C-Reactive Protein (CRP) in response to inflammation, tissue damage (13), and numerous cancer types (14). Due to hypoxia and necrosis in cancer, CRP levels will arise as a nonspecific inflammatory response. Interestingly, studies showed elevated CRP levels are associated with impaired cell-mediated immunity and activation of the innate immune system (15). Thus, studying the systemic inflammatory response and its interaction with the immune system is beneficial for understanding cancer biology.

Intriguingly, relative hematopoietic changes, such as neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet: lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and inflammatory response CRP levels alteration, have recently been recognized as poor prognostic indicators in various cancers (13, 16-18). Neutrophils, platelets, and lymphocytes significantly influence tumor-related inflammation and immunology (19), and CRP could reflect an immunosuppressive microenvironment (20). Therefore, their levels could be considered promising biomarkers.

Consequently, the NLR, PLR, and CRP levels in patients with breast mass comprised benign and malignant lesions were investigated in this study. Also, the evaluated NLR, PLR, and CRP levels were investigated to whether they could be considered potential breast cancer risk factors.

## Material and Methods

### Design of Study

This cross-sectional study was conducted from 2017 to 2018 in the Fatemiyeh Hospital of Hamadan, Iran, under the declaration of Helsinki; Also, all participants signed the written and informed consent form. The participants in this study comprised women with a breast mass. Individuals with anemia, infections, specific blood diseases, inflammation, or autoimmune diseases were excluded from the study. Before surgery, none of the patients had received any other treatment, such as radiotherapy or chemotherapy.

Before any treatment, blood samples were obtained in VACUETTE® tube 2ml K2EDTA and VACUETTE® TUBE 4ml CAT Serum Clot Activator. Blood-based tests, including measurement of CRP level in the patient's serum and complete blood count (CBC) from whole blood. Afterward, the NLR (Absolute Neutrophil Count / Absolute Lymphocyte Count) and PLR (Absolute Platelet Count / Absolute Lymphocyte Count) were calculated on CBC absolute results, and CRP levels were evaluated by the quantitative test.

For histological assays, from each resected lesion, 4µm sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for classifying the resected tissues according to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. Also, the Paraffin-embedded block of patients diagnosed with breast carcinoma was utilized for immunohistochemical (IHC) assay to assess ER/RP and HER2 expression.

### Statistical Analyses

The optimal cut-off values for NLR, PLR, and CRP levels were determined by the Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

The statistical significance of differences between groups was determined using Student's t-test. Also,  $P$ -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Finally, results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and all statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc) software.

## Results

The patient's mean age was  $42.39 \pm 11.83$  years. About 51.5% of participants had a benign mass, including cysts and fibroadenomas. In comparison, 48.5% of patients had malignant lesions. In addition, 57.4% of patients with malignant tumors had vascular invasion, while 8.5% had metastasis. Besides, 50% of malignant tumors had invasive ductal carcinoma phenotype ([Table 1](#)).

The ROC was used to choose the most appropriate cut-off for the NLR, PLR, and CRP levels to distinguish patients with benign mass from malignant tumors. The cut-off obtained for NLR was 1.24 (sensitivity 73.79%, specificity 80.85%, and AUC= 0.8465), for PLR was 96 (sensitivity 85.44%, specificity 98.94%, and AUC= 0.9985), and for CRP levels were 10.36 mg/L (sensitivity 100%, specificity 98.94%, and AUC= 1).

Importantly, the results have demonstrated that significant relations have been between the NLR and malignancy ( $P < 0.001$ ), vascular invasion ( $P = 0.002$ ), and metastasis ( $P = 0.002$ ). Also, the PLR had only a significant correlation with malignancy ( $P < 0.001$ ). Noteworthy, a significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) higher CRP level was observed in patients with malignant tumors compared to patients with benign masses ([Table 2](#)).

Moreover, the NLR, PLR, and CRP levels were evaluated regarding the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression. The results have demonstrated significant differences between NLR of ER<sup>+</sup> ( $P = 0.03$ ) and PR<sup>+</sup> ( $P = 0.03$ ) compared to ER<sup>-</sup> and PR<sup>-</sup>, respectively. Also, the HER2<sup>+</sup> group CRP levels were significantly ( $P = 0.001$ ) higher than HER2<sup>-</sup> group ([Table 2](#)).

**Table 1.** Demographics and pathogenic features of patients with breast masses

Parameters		
Total number of patients		200
Mean age (year)		42.39±11.83
Age at menarche (year)		14.20±1.08
Family history (N)	Yes	53
	No	147
Age at first full-time pregnancy (year)		21.47±2.43
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		21.55±2.49
Smoking history (N)	Yes	35
	No	165
Histology type (N)	Malignant	94
	Benign	103
Pathology type (N)	Invasive ductal carcinoma	47
	Invasive lobular carcinoma	9
	Ductal carcinoma in situ	8
	Lobular carcinoma in situ	1
	Mixed	13
	Other	16
Grade (N)	1	35
	2	37
	3	22
	0	5
Stage (N)	1	54
	2	26
	3	8
	4	1

**Table 2.** The mean ± standard deviation of NLR, PLR, and CRP was evaluated among the multiple groups.

Factor (N)	NLR	PLR	CRP (mg/L)	
Malignancy	Benign (94)	1.009±0.29	44.7±17	4.23±1.16
	Malignant (103)	1.44±0.31	127±33	16.68±2.67
	P-value	<0.001 *	<0.001 *	<0.001 *
	Cut-off	1.24	96	10.36
Vascular invasion	Yes (54)	1.49±0.31	135±37	16.76±3.510
	No (40)	1.25±0.26	118±23	15.89±2.85
	P-value	0.002 *	0.550	0.320
Metastasis	Yes (6)	1.98±0.28	173±24	20.71±2.371
	No (88)	1.57±0.27	124±31	15.43±3.30
	P-value	0.002 *	0.550	<0.001 *
ER	Pos (70)	1.38±0.30	128±27	16.23±2.01
	Neg (24)	1.60±0.40	133±22	16.57±1.61
	P-value	0.030 *	0.36	0.22
PR	Pos (70)	1.38±0.30	127±28	16.23±2.01
	Neg (24)	1.60±0.40	133±22	16.57±1.61
	P-value	0.030 *	0.36	0.22
HER	Pos (17)	1.53±0.18	129±26	18.75±2.45
	Neg (77)	1.38±0.30	124±25	16.54±1.90
	P-value	0.24	0.39	0.001 *

Estrogen receptor (ER), Progesterone receptor (PR), Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), Positive (Pos), Negative (Neg), neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet: lymphocyte ratio (PLR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and \* means that there is a significant difference between groups.

## Discussion

The present study investigated neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio, platelet: lymphocyte ratio, and CRP levels based on the importance of their pivotal predictive applicability. Results have demonstrated that the group's mean of NLR, PLR, and CRP levels

with malignant tumors was significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) higher than patients with benign masses. The optimal cut-off values of NLR, PLR, and CRP were 1.24, 96, and 10.36 mg/L, respectively. This study has confirmed that NLR, PLR, and CRP levels were

changed in malignant breast lesions and proposed them as predictive and/or prognostic factors (21-23).

In addition, significant differences were observed among the NLR of ER ( $P=0.02$ ) and PR ( $P=0.02$ ) subtypes, but there were no significant differences in NLR within the HER2 subtypes. However, in contrast to this study's results, one meta-analysis showed the prognostic value of NLR between HER2-positive and triple-Negative Breast Cancer (24). On the other hand, significant differences in CRP levels were obtained between the HER2<sup>+</sup> and HER2<sup>-</sup> subtypes ( $P<0.001$ ). Ultimately, there were no significant associations between PLR and receptor status.

Conventional screening of BC involves different types of breast imaging, such as computed tomography scan, magnetic resonance imaging, and mammography X-ray examination (25). However, they remained costly despite their widespread use. Thus, methods with lower costs and more accessibility are urgently needed. For instance, the severity of systemic inflammatory response in cancer patients with cancer can be revealed by routine hematological tests.

Numerous studies suggested that inflammation is critical in tumor creation, development, and progression (6, 26, 27). Interestingly, the link between chronic inflammation and cancer appears reciprocal. Inflammation can promote tumor development and progression. Correspondingly, tumor development and progression can also stimulate inflammation (28). The TME is largely organized by inflammatory cells (29), specifically neutrophils, platelets, and lymphocytes contributing to tumor-related inflammation and immunology (30). The most compelling evidence is that neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment act as a pro-tumor by forming NET and promoting immunosuppression. Thus, increased neutrophil levels correlate with patients' poor outcomes (31).

Another key point is that the activated platelets by cancer cells can stimulate venous thrombosis and NETs. Consequently, platelets protect cancer cells from shear stress and natural killer (NK) cells and facilitate cancer metastasis and progression. Also, the activated platelets regulate immune cell migration toward the tumor microenvironment, contributing to cancer-associated inflammation (12).

The neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio is superior to absolute parameters, such as neutrophil, lymphocyte, and total leukocyte count, specifically neutrophilia with a relative lymphocytopenia (32, 33) in predicting short- and long-term mortality. The NLR could be a valuable factor compared to the absolute counts (34). Similarly, elevated PLR, either with thrombocythemia or lymphocytopenia, resulted in less antitumor activity and poor prognosis (35). Furthermore, the measurement of the CRP has been proved to have prognostic value in numerous types of cancer, for instance, breast cancer (36). BC patients' overall survival is inversely correlated with elevated NLR and PLR (37, 38). Also, Takeuchi *et al.* proposed that CRP

levels and PLR are associated with poor prognoses in BC patients (39).

The results of this study conveyed that elevated NLR, PLR, and CRP levels could reflect the presence of malignancy. Besides, the altered frequency of immune cells is aroused from tumor activity (40). Thus, these cells are promising targets for further investigations and targeted therapy. Developing and employing NLR, PLR, and CRP levels as biomarkers for BC may improve clinical decision-making.

Finally, there were some limitations in the present study. First, the results were obtained from a single institution using a relatively small number of subjects. Small sample sizes limit generalizability in heterogeneous diseases such as breast cancer and restrict the number of variables in a multivariate analysis. Another issue, the follow-up data of the patients with breast cancer were not available for further prospective analysis.

## Conclusion

Irrespective of the limitations mentioned above, the obtained data demonstrated that elevated NLR, PLR, and CRP levels are correlated with the presence of malignant lesions in the breasts. Harboring this information may facilitate and improve clinical decision-making for treatment. In other words, higher NLR, PLR, and CRP levels could predict the presence of malignancy. However, large-scale and long-term studies are required to confirm the present results.

## Ethics approval & Consent to Participate

This study was conducted under the declaration of Helsinki; the Ethical code was obtained from the Hamadan University of Medical Sciences with accession number IR.UMSHA.REC.1398.139. Also, all participants signed the informed consent form.

## Authors' contributions

Aida Alizamir designed the study. Aida Alizamir, Sakineh Dehghan Azad, and Azar Pirdehghan contributed to the development of the methodology. Arash Moradi and Sakineh Dehghan Azad acquired the data and conducted the experiments. Aida Alizamir, Azar Pirdehghan, and Arash Moradi analyzed and interpreted data and prepared the manuscript. Aida Alizamir and Arash Moradi performed the manuscript reviews and revisions.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

## Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## References

1. Neal L, Sandhu NP, Hieken TJ, Glazebrook KN, Mac Bride MB, Dilaveri CA, et al. Diagnosis and management of benign, atypical, and indeterminate breast lesions detected on core needle biopsy. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2014;89(4):536-47. [DOI:10.1016/j.mayocp.2014.02.004] [PMID]
2. Masciadri N, Ferranti C. Benign breast lesions: Ultrasound. *J Ultrasound.* 2011;14(2):55-65. [DOI:10.1016/j.jus.2011.03.002] [PMID] [PMCID]
3. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(3):209-49. [DOI:10.3322/caac.21660] [PMID]
4. Jafari SH, Saadatpour Z, Salmaninejad A, Momeni F, Mokhtari M, Nahand JS, et al. Breast cancer diagnosis: Imaging techniques and biochemical markers. *J Cell Physiol.* 2018;233(7):5200-13. [DOI:10.1002/jcp.26379] [PMID]
5. Stoiber D, Assinger A. Platelet-Leukocyte Interplay in Cancer Development and Progression. *Cells.* 2020;9(4). [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.3390/cells9040855]
6. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. *Cell.* 2010;140(6):883-99. [DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025] [PMID] [PMCID]
7. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* 2011;144(5):646-74. [DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013] [PMID]
8. Hirata E, Sahai E. Tumor Microenvironment and Differential Responses to Therapy. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2017;7(7). [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1101/cshperspect.a026781]
9. Kim J, Bae JS. Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Neutrophils in Tumor Microenvironment. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2016;2016:6058147. [DOI:10.1155/2016/6058147] [PMID] [PMCID]
10. Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y, Weiss DS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science.* 2004;303(5663):1532-5. [DOI:10.1126/science.1092385] [PMID]
11. Masucci MT, Minopoli M, Del Vecchio S, Carriero MV. The Emerging Role of Neutrophil Extracellular Traps (NETs) in Tumor Progression and Metastasis. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11(1749):1749. [DOI:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01749] [PMID] [PMCID]
12. Palacios-Acedo AL, Mege D, Crescence L, Dignat-George F, Dubois C, Panicot-Dubois L. Platelets, Thrombo-Inflammation, and Cancer: Collaborating With the Enemy. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:1805. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01805]
13. Allin KH, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated C-reactive protein in the diagnosis, prognosis, and cause of cancer. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci.* 2011;48(4):155-70. [DOI:10.3109/10408363.2011.599831] [PMID]
14. Ansari R, Mahdavinia M, Sadjadi A, Nouraei M, Kamangar F, Bishehsari F, et al. incidence and age distribution of colorectal cancer in Iran: results of a population-based cancer registry. *Cancer Lett.* 2006;240(1):143-7. [DOI:10.1016/j.canlet.2005.09.004] [PMID]
15. Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Role of systemic inflammatory response in predicting survival in patients with primary operable cancer. *Future Oncol.* 2010;6(1):149-63. [DOI:10.2217/fon.09.136] [PMID]
16. Guthrie GJ, Charles KA, Roxburgh CS, Horgan PG, McMillan DC, Clarke SJ. The systemic inflammation-based neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio: experience in patients with cancer. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2013;88(1):218-30. [DOI:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2013.03.010] [PMID]
17. Diem S, Schmid S, Krapf M, Flatz L, Born D, Jochum W, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte ratio (PLR) as prognostic markers in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with nivolumab. *Lung Cancer.* 2017;111:176-81. [DOI:10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.07.024] [PMID]
18. Liu C, Li X. Stage-Dependent Changes in Albumin, NLR, PLR, and AFR are Correlated with Shorter Survival in Patients with Gastric Cancer. *Clin Lab.* 2019;65(9). [DOI:10.7754/Clin.Lab.2019.190132]
19. Wang H, Ding Y, Li N, Wu L, Gao Y, Xiao C, et al. Prognostic Value of Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio, Platelet-Lymphocyte Ratio, and Combined Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-Lymphocyte Ratio in Stage IV Advanced Gastric Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10(841):841. [DOI:10.3389/fonc.2020.00841] [PMID] [PMCID]
20. Nakayama T, Saito K, Kumagai J, Nakajima Y, Kijima T, Yoshida S, et al. Higher Serum C-reactive Protein Level Represents the Immunosuppressive Tumor Microenvironment in Patients With Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Clin Genitourin Cancer.* 2018;16(6):e1151-e8. [DOI:10.1016/j.clgc.2018.07.027] [PMID]
21. Graziano V, Grassadonia A, Iezzi L, Vici P, Pizzuti L, Barba M, et al. Combination of peripheral neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio is predictive of

- pathological complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. *Breast*. 2019;44:33-8. [DOI:10.1016/j.breast.2018.12.014] [PMID]
22. Kim JY, Jung EJ, Kim JM, Lee HS, Kwag SJ, Park JH, et al. Dynamic changes of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts breast cancer prognosis. *BMC Cancer*. 2020;20(1):1206. [DOI:10.1186/s12885-020-07700-9] [PMID] [PMCID]
  23. Asegaonkar SB, Asegaonkar BN, Takalkar UV, Advani S, Thorat AP. C-Reactive Protein and Breast Cancer: New Insights from Old Molecule. *Int J Breast Cancer*. 2015;2015:145647. [DOI:10.1155/2015/145647] [PMID] [PMCID]
  24. Wei B, Yao M, Xing C, Wang W, Yao J, Hong Y, et al. The neutrophil lymphocyte ratio is associated with breast cancer prognosis: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *OncoTargets Ther*. 2016;9:5567-75. [DOI:10.2147/OTT.S108419] [PMID] [PMCID]
  25. Wang L. Early Diagnosis of Breast Cancer. *Sensors (Basel)*. 2017;17(7). [DOI:10.3390/s17071572] [PMID] [PMCID]
  26. Lim B, Woodward WA, Wang X, Reuben JM, Ueno NT. Inflammatory breast cancer biology: the tumour microenvironment is key. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2018;18(8):485-99. [DOI:10.1038/s41568-018-0010-y] [PMID]
  27. Hanahan D, Weinberg Robert A. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. *Cell*. 2011;144(5):646-74. [DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013] [PMID]
  28. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. *Nature*. 2008;454(7203):436-44. [DOI:10.1038/nature07205] [PMID]
  29. Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. *Nature*. 2002;420(6917):860-7. [DOI:10.1038/nature01322] [PMID] [PMCID]
  30. Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ. Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion. *Science*. 2011;331(6024):1565-70. [DOI:10.1126/science.1203486] [PMID]
  31. Gentles AJ, Newman AM, Liu CL, Bratman SV, Feng W, Kim D, et al. The prognostic landscape of genes and infiltrating immune cells across human cancers. *Nat Med*. 2015;21(8):938-45. [DOI:10.1038/nm.3909] [PMID] [PMCID]
  32. Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340(6):448-54. [DOI:10.1056/NEJM199902113400607] [PMID]
  33. Zahorec R. Ratio of neutrophil to lymphocyte counts--rapid and simple parameter of systemic inflammation and stress in critically ill. *Bratisl Lek Listy*. 2001;102(1):5-14.
  34. Azab B, Bhatt VR, Phookan J, Murukutla S, Kohn N, Terjanian T, et al. Usefulness of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in predicting short- and long-term mortality in breast cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012;19(1):217-24. [DOI:10.1245/s10434-011-1814-0] [PMID]
  35. Zhang M, Huang XZ, Song YX, Gao P, Sun JX, Wang ZN. High Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts Poor Prognosis and Clinicopathological Characteristics in Patients with Breast Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *BioMed Res Int*. 2017;2017:9503025. [DOI:10.1155/2017/9503025] [PMID] [PMCID]
  36. Han Y, Mao F, Wu Y, Fu X, Zhu X, Zhou S, et al. Prognostic role of C-reactive protein in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Biol Markers*. 2011;26(4):209-15. [DOI:10.5301/IBJM.2011.8872] [PMID]
  37. Huszno J, Kolosza Z. Prognostic value of the neutrophil-lymphocyte, platelet-lymphocyte and monocyte-lymphocyte ratio in breast cancer patients. *Oncol Lett*. 2019;18(6):6275-83. [DOI:10.3892/ol.2019.10966] [PMCID]
  38. Ramos-Esquivel A, Rodriguez-Porras L, Porras J. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-lymphocyte ratio as prognostic factors in non-metastatic breast cancer patients from a Hispanic population. *Breast Dis*. 2017;37(1):1-6. [DOI:10.3233/BD-160251] [PMID]
  39. Takeuchi H, Kawanaka H, Fukuyama S, Kubo N, Hiroshige S, Yano T. Comparison of the prognostic values of preoperative inflammation-based parameters in patients with breast cancer. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0177137. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0177137]
  40. Burkholder B, Huang RY, Burgess R, Luo S, Jones VS, Zhang W, et al. Tumor-induced perturbations of cytokines and immune cell networks. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014;1845(2):182-201. [DOI:10.1016/j.bbcan.2014.01.004] [PMID]

#### How to Cite This Article

Alizamir A, Dehghan Azad S, Pirdehghan A, Moradi SA. Preoperative Neutrophil: Lymphocyte Ratio, Platelet: Lymphocyte Ratio, and C-Reactive Protein Levels Predictive Value in Determining the Severity of Breast Mass. *Iran J Pathol*. 2022; 17(4): 413-418. doi: 10.30699/IJP.2022.539042.2727