# **Original Article**

# Detection of *icaABCD* Genes and Biofilm Formation in Clinical Isolates of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

# Mohsen Mirzaee<sup>1</sup>, Shahin Najar Peerayeh<sup>2</sup> Abdol-Majid Ghasemian<sup>2</sup>

 Dept. of Laboratory Sciences, Borujerd Branch, Islamic Azad University, Borujerd, Iran
 Dept. of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, TarbiatModares University, Tehran, Iran

# ABSTRACT

*Background & Objectives:* Methicillin resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the most important pathogens that causes several nosocomial and community infections. Adhesion to surfaces and biofilm formation is considered main step in staphylococcal infection. The aims of this study were to determine presence of *icaABCD* genes and relation to the biofilm formation in of MRSA isolates.

*Methods:* Of the 63 MRSA clinical isolates collected from selected hospitals in Tehran, Iran,quantitative biofilm formation was determined by microtiter tissue culture plates (Mtp). All MRSA isolates were examined for determination the *icaABCD* genes by using PCR method.

Results: twenty nine (46%) of the isolates were strong produced biofilm on Mtp. All of the MRSA isolates carried *icaD* and *icaC* genes, whereas, the prevalence of *icaA* and *icaB* was 60.3% and 51% respectively.

*Conclusions: S. aureus* clinical isolates have different capacity to production biofilm and adhesion. This may be caused by a different in the expression of biofilm genes and hetrogenicity in genetic origins.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, Biofilm, icaABCD, MRSA

Accepted: 24 January 2014

Received: 26August 2013

Address Communications to: Mr. Mohsen Mirzaee, Department of Laboratory Sciences, Borujerd Branch, Islamic Azad University, Borujerd, Iran. Email: Mohsen1439@yahoo.com

#### Introduction

*Taphylococcus aureus* is one of the major causes of nosocomial infections; especially pneumonia, surgical site infections and blood stream infections continue to be a major cause of community-acquired infections. The development of high levels of penicillin resistance followed by the spread of strains resistant to the semisynthetic derivative of penicillin (e.g. methicillin) and other antibiotics has made the therapy of staphylococcal infection international challenge (1). Finding methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) strains; just two years after the clinical use of penicillinase-resistant penicillin, put specialists into difficulty with treating these infections (2). Biofilms pose a serious problem for public health because of the increased resistance of biofilm-associated bacteria to antibiotics and host defenses.

Biofilm formation is affected by several factors such as, environmental condition (osmolality, nutrition, implicated device). One of the most important factors was ability to synthesis of the polysaccharide intercellular adhesion (PIA) by this organism (3). The intracellular adhesion (ica) locus consist of the four genes icaABCD, these genes encodes proteins mediating the synthesis of the PIA (4). The *icaA* gene is encoding the N-acetylglucosamyltransferase. This enzyme is not very active in vitro, but co-expression of the *icaD* gene due to increases the activity. *icaB* is the deacetylase responsible for the de-acetylation of mature PIA and the transmembrane protein. *icaC* encodes the transmembrane protein, which is hypothetically involved in to secretion and elongation of the growing polysaccharide (5). Expression of this operon increased under anaerobic internal environment of biofilm, other conditions for the expression of these genes are including glucose, ethanol, osmolarity, temperature, and antibiotics such as tetracycline (6).

Biofilm formation organisms are essentially different from populations of planktonic cells. Bacteria within biofilm show increased resistance to antibiotics. Additionally, the importance of biofilm is well recognized in medicine (7). A number of methods have been created for cultivation and quantification of biofilm formation ability. Currently several different methods are used, such as tube test, microtiter plate test, radiolabeling, microscopy, tube method and Congo red agar (CRA) plate test (8, 9). However, the microtiter plate method remains among the most frequently used assays for investigation of biofilm, and a number of modifications have been expanded for the in vitro cultivation and quantification of bacterial biofilms formation ability. Additionally, in several studies, the Mtp is a quantitative and reliable method to detect biofilm forming bacteria. Compared to tube and CRA methods, it can be recommended as a general screening method for detection of biofilm producing bacteria in laboratories (10, 11).

The present study was carried out to determine the biofilm producing ability by quantitative microtitre plate assay as well as the presence of *icaABCD* operon genes in clinical isolates of methicillin resistance *S.aureus* as well as correlation between biofilm formation and presence of this operon.

#### **Material and Methods**

#### **Bacterial Isolates**

A total 63 isolates of MRSA were chosen from a collection of *S. aureus* isolates during six months (Aug 2012 to March 2013), from two university hospitals (Loghman and Children Medical Center) of Tehran. All isolates were identified by conventional bacteriological tests. The bacterial isolates were kept frozen at -70°C before tested.

#### **Biofilm Formation Assay**

A modified microtiter plate method was followed as previously described (7). Briefly, the wells of microtiter plate were filled with  $180 \mu$  loftrypticase soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% glucose. Then, a 20  $\mu$ l quantity of previously prepared bacterial suspensions with turbidity equal to 0.5 Macfarland standards was added to each well. The negative control wells contained 200  $\mu$ l of TSB supplemented with 1% glucose. Incubation was carried out at 37°C for 24 h before removal of the cultures. Then, the cells were decanted, and each well was washed 3-times with sterile phosphate buffered saline, fixed by methanol for 20 min, dried at room temperature and finally strained with 0.1% saferanin. The safranin dye bound to the adherent cells was dissolved with 1mL of 95% ethanol per well, and the plates

were read at 490nm  $(A_{490})$  using ELISA reader. Optical density cut-off (ODc) was determined. It is defined as average OD of negative control +  $3 \times$  standard deviation (SD) of negative control. Formation of biofilm by isolates was analyzed and categorized relying on the absorbance of the safranin-stained attached cells (Table 1) (12). . Biofilm-producing *S. aureus* ATCC 35556 strain was used for strongly biofilm producing control, while *S. epidermidis* ATCC 12228 strains were used asthe negative control.

Table 1-Classification of biofilm for	ormation abilities b	y Mtp method
---------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------

Cut-off value calculation	Mean of OD values results	<b>Biofilm formation abilities</b>
$OD > 4 \times ODc$	OD > 0.33296	Strong
$2 \times ODc < OD \leq 4 \times ODc$	$0.16648 < OD \le 0.33296$	Moderate
$ODc < OD \le 2 \times ODc$	$0.08324 < OD \le 0.16648$	Weak
$OD \leq 0.08324$	$OD \leq 0.08324$	None

#### **Genomic DNA Extraction**

For extraction of genomic DNA of *S. aureus* isolates several colonies of each isolate were suspended in 20  $\mu$ l of a lysis buffer (0.25% SDS, 0.05 N NaOH) and heated at 95°C for 7 min, cooled on ice, centrifuged briefly at 16000 g for 2 min and diluted by adding 180  $\mu$ l of distilled water. Therefore, a centrifugation for 5 min at 16000 g was performed to remove cell debris. The supernatant was used as the source of template for DNA amplification.

#### PCR

Methicillin resistance isolates were selected for molecular screening for *icaABCD* genes using PCR.

#### icaA gene detection

PCR amplification was performed with an Eppendorf thermal cycler (Mastercycler® gradient). Amplification program for *icaA* consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 60 sec, annealing at

55 °C for 60 sec and extension at 72 °C for 60 sec with a final step of 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1.4% agarose gel and stained with gel red (13).

#### icaBgene detection

Amplification program for *icaB* consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 60 sec, annealing at 52 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 90 sec with a final step of 72 °C for 10 min (14).

#### icaCgene detection

Amplification program for *icaC* consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 60 sec, annealing at 55 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 30 sec with a final step of 72 °C for 10 min (14).

#### *icaD*gene detection

Amplification program for *icaD* consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing at

#### 260 Detection of icaABCD Genes and Biofilm Formation in ...

55 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 60 sec with a final step of 72 °C for 10 min. The primers

and sizes of the expected amplification product for PCR amplification are listed in Table 2(13).

Target (s)	Primer	Sequence	Product Size (bp)	Reference
icaA	F	5-ACACTTGCTGGCGCAGTCAA-3	188	(8)
	R	5-TCTGGAACCAACATCCAACA-3		
icaB	F	5-AGAATCGTGAAGTATAGAAAATT-3	900	(9)
	R	5-TCTAATCTTTTTCATGGAATCCGT-3		
icaC	F	5-ATGGGACGGATTCCATGAAAAAGA-3	1100	(8)
	R	5-TAATAAGCATTAATGTTCAATT-3		
icaD	F	5-ATGGTCAAGCCCAGACAGAG-3	198	(9)
	R	5-AGTATTTTCAATGTTTAAAGCAA-3		

Table 2- List of primers used in this study	Tab	ole	2-	Lis	st of	prir	ners	used	in	this	study
---	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	------	------	------	----	------	-------

#### Results

#### **Clinical Bacterial Strains**

Of the 63 MRSA clinical isolates included in this study, 40 (63.4%)were isolated from trachea, 9 (14.2%) from wounds, 4 (6.5%) from blood culture, 3 (4.8%) from urine culture, 2 (3.25%) from bronchoalveolar lavage, 2 (3.25%) from drainage and other specimen with frequency equal to oneisolated from sputum, catheter, eye

#### and biopsy.

#### **Microtiter Tissue Culture Plates**

The microtiter plates assay results showed that all MRSA strains tested were attached at different amount (Table 3). Attachment abilities in 29 (46%) strains were strong, 23 (36.5%) strains were moderate, 11 (17.5%) strains were weak and none of them had no attachment.

Table 3- Biofilm formation by microtiter tissue culture plates method

Method	Adhesion formation	MRSA (n=60)	Percentage (%)
	Strong	29	46
Microtiter tissue culture plates	Moderate	23	36.5
*	Weak	11	17.5
	None	0	0

#### Identification of *icaABCD* genes

All the primers used in the study showed specificity with a single band. The 4 biofilm related genes were identified in MRSA strains by PCR method. The prevalence of *icaA*, *icaB*, *icaC* and *icaD* in MRSA strains was 60.3%, 34.2%, 100% and 100% respectively. In 20 (31.7%) MRSA strains all *ica* genes were positive. In these strains 11 (55%) strains were strongly, 8 (40%) strains were moderate and only 1 (5%) strain was weakly biofilm formation. No relation was observed between source of bacteria such as wards and type of specimen, and potential of biofilm formation in MRSA strains. On the other hand, correlation

between presence of *ica* genes in MRSA strains and biofilm formation was observed.

#### Discussion

The attachment and biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces such as, catheters and implanted devices is one of the major virulence factors in *S. aureus*. Biofilm causes bacterial resistance to inappropriate conditions such as, antibiotics, stress, host phagocytosis and immune response (resistance to oxygen radicals and proteases) (15, 16). The study of adhesion and biofilm formation and genetics characteristics of biofilm genes in different isolates of *S. aureus* may allow a better understanding of the complex process of biofilm formation and infections caused by this microorganism (6).

In this study, microtiter tissue culture plates were selected for assay biofilm formation and quantify attachment. Yet, presence and expression of biofilm genes should be confirmed by genotypic characterization methods. There are several reports concerning prevalence rate of *ica* genes in MRSA strains from different Countries (17-19). In the present study, we found that 29 (46%) of MRSA strains were capable of producing strongly biofilm formation.

Our findings were similar to the observation by Atshan *et al.* who analyzed a 60 different clone of clinical MSSA and MRSA at selective hospital in Putra Malaysia (20). Many reports have been published on correlation between phenotypic methods such as microtiter plates and presence of *ica* genes in clinical isolates in different parts of the world (12, 17, 20).

The present study indicates a high prevalence of *ica* genes among MRSA isolates, but the presence of the biofilm related genes did not always correlate with biofilm production. Thus, the difference between phenotypic and genotypic characterization may be due to the heterogeneity in the genetic origins, and not because of the presence or absence of genes required for the biofilm formation. In our study, all of the MRSA isolates harbored *icaD* and *icaC* genes.

By contrast, Yazdani *et al.* observed that *icaA* was present in all *S.aureus* strains studied (21). These results indicated the high prevalence of *icaDC* genes among MRSA strains; however, the presence of *icaDC* genes was not always associated with in vitro biofilm formation (21). These data indicated biofilm formation regulated by several factors such as, environmental condition.

# Conclusion

These results suggest that biofilm formation in vitro presses is very complex and independent to onlypresence of *ica* genes harbor in MRSA strains. Thus, genetics methods for detection of genes involved in biofilm formation is not appropriate methods for the actual biofilm phenotype under *in vitro* conditions.

# Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Islamic Azad University, Borujerd Branch, Iran. The authors would like to acknowledge staffs of the university. The authors would like to thank Ehsanollah Ghaznavi-Rad (Arak University of Medical Sciences) for providing control strains. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### References

1. Havaei S, Azimian A, Fazeli H, Naderi M, Ghazvini K, Samiee SM, *et al.* Genetic Characterization of Methicillin Resistant and Sensitive, Vancomycin Intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains Isolated from Different Iranian Hospitals. ISRN Microbiol. 2012 20;2012:215275.

2. Hiramatsu K, Hanaki H, Ino T, Yabuta K, Oguri T, Tenover F. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical strain with reduced vancomycin susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;40 (1):135-6.

3. Nathan K, Mark J, William C, Jeff G, Mary E, Mark
E. *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms, Virulence. Virulance
2011;2 (5):445–59.

4. Cramton S, Gerke C, Schnell N, Nichols W, Gotz F. The intercellular adhesion (ica) locus is present in *Staphylococcus aureus* and is required for biofilm formation. Infec immune. 1999;67 (10):5427-33.

5. Gamal F, Mohamed A, Mostafa S, Mona A, Hassan A, Rehab M. Detection of icaA, icaD genes and biofilm production by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from urinary tract catheterized patients. J Infect Dev Ctries 2009; 3 (5):342-51.

#### 262 Detection of icaABCD Genes and Biofilm Formation in ...

6. Glowalla E, Tosetti B, Kronke M, Krut O. Proteomicsbased identification of anchorless cell wall proteins as vaccine candidates against *Staphylococcus aureus*.InfectImmun 2009;77 (7):2719–29.

7. Donlan RM, Costerton JW. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15(2):167-93.

8.Deighton MA, Capstick J, Domalewski E, van Nguyen
 T. Methods for studying biofilms produced by *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Methods Enzymol 2001;336:177-95.

9. Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Gamberini S, Cervellati M, Donati E, Montanaro L. Detection of slime production by means of an optimised Congo red agar plate test based on a colourimetric scale in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* clinical isolates genotyped for ica locus. Biomaterials 2002;23(21):4233-9.

10. Hassan A, Usman J, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Evaluation of different detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates. The Brazilian journal of infectious diseases : an official publication of the Brazilian Society of Infectious Diseases. 2011;15(4):305-11.

11. Ruzicka F, Hola V, Votava M, Tejkalova R, Horvat R, Heroldova M, *et al.* Biofilm detection and the clinical significance of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates. Folia Microbiologica 2004;49(5):596-600.

12. Stepanovic S, Vukovic D, Hola V, Bonaventura G, Djukic S, Cirkovic I, *et al.* Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by staphylococci. APMIS 2007;115 (8):891-9.

Rohde H, Knobloch J, Horstkotte MA, Horstkotte M, Dietrich M. Correlation of *Staphylococcus aureus icaADBC* genotype and biofilm expression phenotype. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39 (12):4595–6.

14. Kiem S, Oh WS, Peck KR, Lee NY, Lee JY, Song

JH,*et al.* Phase variation of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* by IS256 insertion and its impact on the capacity adhering to polyurethane surface. J Kore MedSci 2004;19 (6):779–82.

15. Rachid S, Ohlsen K, Wallner U, Hacker J, Hecker M, Ziebuhr W. Alternative transcription factor B is involved in regulation of biofilm expression in a *Staphylococcus aureus* mucosal isolate. J Bacteriol 2000;182 (23):6824-6.

16. Cramton S, Ulrich M, Götz F, Döring G. Anaerobic conditions induce expression of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Infec immune. 2001;69 (6):4079-85.

17. Eftekhar F, Dadaei T. Biofilm Formation and Detection of IcaAB Genes in Clinical Isolates of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Iran J Bas Med Sci 2011;14:132-6.

18. Pozzi C, Waters E, JKRudkin, Schaeffer C, Lohan A, Tong P, *et al.* Methicillin Resistance Alters the Biofilm Phenotype and Attenuates Virulence in *Staphylococcus aureus* Device-Associated Infections. PLoS Pathog 2011;8(4):e1002626.

19. Lauderdale K, Malone C, Boles B, Morcuende J, Horswill A. Biofilm dispersal of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* on orthopedic implant material. J Orthop Res 2010;28 (1):55-61.

20. Atshan S, Shamsudin M, Sekawi Z, Lung LT, Hamat R, Karunanidhi A, *et al.* Prevalence of Adhesion and Regulation of Biofilm-Related Genes in Different Clones of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Biomed Biotechnol 2012;10:1-12.

21. Yazdani R, Oshaghi M, Havayi A, Pishva E, Salehi R, Sadeghizadeh M, *et al.* Detection of *icaAD* Gene and Biofilm Formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates from Wound Infections. Iran J Publ Health 2006; 35 (2):25-8.