Iranian Journal of Pathology | ISSN: 2345-3656

Cytogenetics and Revised International Staging System (R-ISS): Risk Stratification in Multiple myeloma - A Retrospective Study in Indian Population

Chethana Babu K Udupa¹, Karthik Subramanaya Udupa^{2*}, Ananth Pai², Prathika Sherigar²

1. Department of Pathology, Kasturba Medical college Manipal, Manipal academy of higher education, Manipal, Karnataka, India

2. Department of Medical Oncology, Kasturba Medical college Manipal, Manipal academy of higher education, Manipal, Karnataka, India

KEYWORDS ABSTRACT Cytogenetic abnormalities, Background & Objective: Cytogenetic abnormalities in Multiple myeloma (MM) has Fluorescence in situ hybridization, emerged as the most important factor that determine the prognosis and survival. Multiple Myeloma prognosis, Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) can detect a greater number of cytogenetic Revised International Staging System abnormalities as compared to conventional karyotyping and hence has become the (R-ISS) standard test in determining genetic abnormalities in MM. The present study was Scan to discover online planned as there is an unmet need to find out various cytogenetic abnormalities and to implement them in prognostic stratification by Revised International Staging System (R-ISS) among Indian population. Methods: A single institution retrospective study was conducted among a total of 117 patients newly diagnosed as Multiple Myeloma. They were analyzed for various cytogenetic abnormalities by using interphase FISH (iFISH) and were staged according to Revised International Staging System (R- ISS). Results: Out of the 117 patients studied, deletion 17p13 (p53) was present in 16 patients Main Subjects: Hematopathology (13.67%). Thirty patients (25.64%) showed deletion 13q14.3. Three patients (2.56%) were detected to have t(4:14). Two patients (1.7%) had t(11:14) and t(14:16), Received 05 Apr 2019; respectively. Total of 19 patients (16.23%) in our study exhibited high risk cytogenetics Accepted 10 Apr 2020; and two among them had more than one high risk cytogenetic abnormalities. There was Published Online 21 Apr 2020; a 66.4% moderate correlation between ISS-III and high-risk cytogenetics which was statistically insignificant. Of the total 117 patients, 37 (31.62%) were staged R-ISS III. Conclusion: High risk cytogenetics was found in 16.23 % of our study population and del doi) 10.30699/ijp.2020.105128.2078 17p13 was the most common high-risk cytogenetic abnormality. Of the studied subjects, 31.62% had R-ISS III, which is significantly higher compared to western population.

Corresponding Information: Karthik Subramanaya Udupa; Department of Medical Oncology, Kasturba Medical college Manipal, Manipal academy of higher education, Manipal, Karnataka, India Email: <u>udupa.k@gmail.com</u>

Copyright © 2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- 4.0 International License which permits Share, copy and redistribution of the material in any medium or format or adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

Introduction

Multiple myeloma is a neoplasm of terminally differentiated plasma cells that produce monoclonal proteins. It accounts for 10% of all hematological malignancies and 1% of all malignancies. The prognosis of myeloma was determined earlier by International staging system (ISS) based on two parameters namely beta-2 Microglobulin and Albumin.

Cytogenetic abnormalities in Multiple Myeloma has emerged as one of the most important prognostic factors which determines the resistance to treatment and the outcome of the disease (1). Due to low proliferative nature of malignant plasma cells, conventional cytogenetics miss a lot of cytogenetic abnormalities and hence interphase FISH (iFISH) is the preferred methodology which can detect >60% of genetic changes (1-3). Hence a new staging system incorporating cytogenetic abnormalities and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in addition to the parameters in ISS was developed by International Myeloma working group called Revised International staging system (R-ISS) for better prognosis of the disease (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of ISS and R-ISS

Stage		ISS	R-ISS			
Ι	Serum β_2 -microglobulin < 3.5 mg/L, AND Serum albumin \ge 3.5 g/dl		ISS stage I and standard-risk CA by iFish AND Normal LDH			
II	Not ISS stage I or III		Not R-ISS stage I or III			
III	Serum β_2 -microglobulin ≥ 5.5 mg/L		ISS stage III and either High-risk CA by iFISH or High LDH			
	Chromosomal abnormalities (CA) by iFISH					
	High risk	Presence of del(17p) and/or translocation t(4;14) and/or translocation t(14;16)				
	Standard risk		No high-risk CA			
	LDH					
	Normal	:	Serum LDH < the upper limit of normal			
	High	:	Serum LDH > the upper limit of normal			

Materials and Methods

A retrospective study was conducted on 117 patients who were diagnosed as Multiple Myeloma according to International Myeloma Working Group criteria (4,5). Patients who underwent both cytogenetic analysis and R-ISS staging were included. Institutional ethics committee approval was taken prior to the study and written consent was obtained from all the participants. The retrospective recruitment was conducted at the Department of Medical Oncology at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, between January 2014 and January 2019. Cytogenetic abnormalities were detected by using interphase FISH (iFISH) done on plasma cells which were enriched through Magnetic bead separation process using CD138 antibody in Onquest Laboratories, New Delhi.

2-3 mL bone marrow sample was taken and enriched for Plasma cells by EasySep Kit (STEMCELL technologies, USA), as follows. Desired cells were targeted with Tetrameric Antibody Complexes (TACs) recognizing CD138 and dextran-coated magnetic particles. This cocktail also had an antibody to human Fc receptor to minimize nonspecific binding. Labeled cells separation was done using an EasySep® (STEMCELL technologies, USA), magnet without the use of columns. Cells of interest remain in the tube while unwanted cells are poured off. The sample was evaluated for percentage of Plasma cells before and after enrichment.

The cell suspension was washed twice with cold freshly prepared fixative (methanol: acetic acid in the ratio 3:1) and either stored in refrigerator or directly taken for FISH staining. The sample was stained and studied using FISH probes for 13q14.3, 17p, IgH/CCND1, IgH/FGFR3, IgH/MAF (all probes were sourced from Vysis, Abbott Molecular).

Glass slides were appropriately labelled and cell suspension was dropped onto the slides. The slides were aged by incubating at 80°C for 1 hr. Thereafter, the

sample was dehydrated by incubating the slides in increasing gradations of alcohol (70%, 85% and absolute Ethanol). The sample was given enzyme digestion by incubating the slides in solution A (150 μ L of 1% pepsin solution and 500 μ L of 1N hydrochloric acid added to 49 mL MQ water) at 37°C for 5 min. After rinsing in 1X PBS, the slides were incubated in Solution B (1.34 mL of 37% Formaldehyde added to 48.5 mL MQ water) at 2-8°C for 5 min.

The sample was dehydrated in alcohol and air dried. Thereafter, appropriate volumes of the FISH probes (as indicated by the manufacturer) were added to the sample in dark.

The slides were placed in a humidified Thermobrite hybridization chamber and incubated at 80°C for 10 min followed by 37°C for 14-16 hrs.

After incubation, the slides were removed from the humidified chamber and processed for washing, to remove unbound probe by using low and stringency SSC (Sigma) washes.

The slides were air-dried and then mounted in a solution of 5 μ L DAPI II. The slides were visualized on a Fluorescence microscope equipped with Cytovision software and scored as per guidelines.

The haematological and immunobiochemical parameters, namely, Hemoglobin, Albumin, LDH, Creatinine and β 2 Microglobulin were also analyzed in the study group. Patients with either one or more cytogenetic abnormalities including 17p13 (p53), t(4:14) and t(14:16) were labelled having high risk cytogenetics. International staging system (ISS) for Myeloma was calculated for all 117 patients using serum albumin and β 2 Microglobulin levels and revised ISS (R-ISS) was calculated by using LDH and cytogenetic abnormalities in addition to the parameters in ISS (6,7).

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation were used for continuous variables. Frequency counts and percentages were used for categorical variables. Chi-square test was employed to evaluate the associations between ISS staging and cytogenetic abnormalities.

Results

Of 117 patients included in our study, 78 patients were males and 39 patients were females with mean age of 59.11 years (SD \pm 10.43 years). Demographic profile of our study population is shown in <u>Table 2</u>.

ISS and Revised ISS Staging of our patient cohort is shown in <u>Table 3</u>. Of our patients, 49 (41.88%) were staged ISS-III whereas 37 patients were staged R-ISS III (31.62%).

Table 2. Demographic profile					
Demographic parameters	Values	Standard deviation S.D			
Mean age, in years	59.11	(±10.43)			
Mean Haemoglobin, in g/dL	11.32	(±2.2)			
Mean Albumin, in g/dL	3.5	(±0.854)			
Mean Creatinine, in mg/dL	1.79	(±2.102)			
Mean LDH, in IU/L	254	(±112.49)			
β2 Microglobulin, in ng/mL	5528.7	(±4477.7)			

Table 3. Number of patients in ISS and R-ISS

Stages	ISS Stage	R-ISS Stage
Stage – I	36	21
Stage – II	32	59
Stage – III	49	37

Cytogenetic abnormalities were detected in 39 patients. Total of 19 patients (16.23%) in our study had high risk cytogenetic abnormalities and 16 of them had del 17p13 (p53). Del 13q14 was the most common cytogenetic abnormality and was found in 30 cases (25.64%) in our study population.

Various cytogenetic abnormalities detected in our

study and its correlation with ISS and R-ISS are illustrated in Tables 4, 5 and 6.

High risk cytogenetics was observed in 13 of our patients staged as ISS-III, four patients staged as ISS-II and two patients staged as ISS-I. There was a 66.4% moderate correlation between ISS -III and high risk cytogenetics which was statistically insignificant with P-value of 0.213.

Cytogenetic abnormality	n			
del 17p13	16			
del 13q14	30			
t (4:14)	3			
t (11:14)	2			
t (14:16)	2			
Trisomy on chromosome 17	2			

Table 5. Multiple Coexisting cytogenetic abnormalities

Cytogenetic abnormality	n
del 17p alone	5
del 17p & 13q	8
del 17p & t(4:14)	1
del 17p & t(11:14)	1
del 17p, del 13q, t(14:16)	1
del 13q alone	17
del 13q & t(4:14)	1
del 13q & t(11.14)	1
del 13q & t(14:16)	1
t(4:14) alone	1
Trisomy on chr 17	1
Trisomy on chr 17	1

Table 6. Table comparing cytogenetic abnormalities, ISS stage and revised R-ISS stage

Cytogenetic abnormality(n=total				
number of patients)	ISS Stage	n	R-ISS Stage	n
	1	2	1	0
del 17 alone (n=5)	2	0	2	2
	3	3	3	3
	1	0	1	0
del 17p & 13q (n=8)	2	3	2	3
uci 17p & 15y (ii–6)	3	5	3	5
	1	0	1	0
del 17p & t(4:14) (n=1)	2	0	2	0
uti 1/p & t(4.14) (n=1)	3	1	3	1
	1	0	1	0
del 17p & t(11:14) (n=1)	2	1	2	1
uei 1/p & ((11.14) (ii–1)	3	0	3	0
	1	0	1	0
del 17p, 13q, t(4:16)	2	0	2	0
(n=1)	3	0	3	0
	1	1	1	1
del 13q alone (n=17)	2	5	2	5
del 154 alone (n=17)	3	11	3	11
	1	0	1	0
del 13q & t(4:14) (n=1)	2	0	2	0
uer 15q & t(4.14) (li=1)	3	0	3	0
	1	0	1	0
del 13q & t(11:14) (n=1)	2	0	1 2	0
uei 154 & ((11:14) (II-1)	3	0	3	0
	1	0	1	0
del 13q & t(14:16) (n=1)	1 2	0	1 2	0
uei 154 & t(14:10) (ll=1)	23	0	3	0
		0		0
+(4.14) (- 1)	1	0	1	
t(4:14) (n=1)	2	0	2	0
	3	1	3	1
	1	1	1	1
Trisomy on chr 17 (n=1)	2	0	2	0
	3	0	3	0
	1	1	1	1
Trisomy 17 & del 13q (n=1)	2	0	2	0
	3	0	3	0

Discussion

Multiple Myeloma is a hematological neoplasm caused by proliferation of malignant plasma cells which produce monoclonal proteins. iFISH is used for identifying multiple and complex genetic abnormalities in Myeloma patients. We studied 117 patients with Multiple Myeloma for various cytogenetic abnormalities including del 17p13 (p53), del 13q14.3, t(4:14), t(14:16) and t(11:14).

Cytogenetic abnormalities were found out in one third (33.3%) of our study population which is less compared to various other studies where 50-90% genetic abnormalities have been reported in Myeloma patients (9,9). High risk cytogenetic abnormalities were present in 19 patients (16.23%) which is less as compared to studies by Amare *et al.* and Shaji *et al.* who in their studies had 21% and 24% high risk cytogenetic abnormalities respectively (10,11).

The presence of del 17 p signifies high risk cytogenetics and is associated with aggressiveness of the disease, hypercalcemia, extra-medullary disease and poor survival. In our study del 17p13 (p53) is found in 16 patients (13.67%) which is comparable to various Indian and western studies (11-14).

Translocation (4:14) was present in 3 (2.56%) patients which is less compared to various Indian and western studies. Amare *et al.* and Shaji *et al.* quoted 10% of their study group having t(4:14). The translocation is more prevalent in IgA subset and is associated with poor prognosis (10,11).

Two (1.7%) of our patients had t(14:16) which is comparable to other studies. This abnormality is usually missed by conventional karyotyping and is identified by iFISH. There is limited data regarding prognostic implication of this translocation but seems to have poor prognosis (15). Based on the findings of Chung *et al.* translocation is associated with chromosome 13 deletion (16) which was in accordance with our study.

Del 13q 14.3 (25.64%) was the most common cytogenetic abnormality found in our study which is comparable to Indian studies but less than what is quoted in western literature (10,17). In our study we had 2 patients with plasma cell leukemia and both had del 13q14.3. This is similar to the results of Garcia-Sanaz *et al.* (18).

In our study, two (1.7%) patients had t(11:14) which compared to that found in other western and Indian studies, is less (10,19,20). In most of the studies this translocation is associated with good prognosis except for plasma cell leukemia where the outcome is poor.

In our study, 49 (41.88%) patients were staged ISS-III which was more compared to other Indian study by Jacob LA *et al.* (2017) and western studies by Greipp PR *et al.* (2005) and Attal M *et al.* (2015) where frequency of ISS-III was 39%, 39% and 18% respectively (21,6,22).

According to R-ISS, 37 (31.62%) cases were staged as R-ISS III which is significantly more compared to the

study by Palumbo A *et al.* (2015) and Chang H *et al.* (2004) where patients staged as R- ISS III were only 10% and 20% respectively (7,23). In Studies by Samu Kurki et al. and Kastritis E et al. also proportion of R-ISS III patients was less compared to that found in our study (24,25).

There was a 66.4% moderate correlation between ISS-III and high-risk cytogenetics which was statistically insignificant with P-value of 0.213. In a study by Amere *et al.* (2016), there was no correlation found between high risk cytogenetics and ISS-III and the incidence of high-risk cytogenetic abnormalities was similar in groups having ISS -III and a combined group having ISS-I and ISS-II (10).

The drawback of the study was that we were not able to analyze t(14:20) and chromosome 1q abnormalities. We also did not do conventional karyotyping in addition to iFISH testing which would have diagnosed additional cytogenetic abnormalities. We have not analyzed the outcome of these patients which would have helped us to understand the prognosis and survival of our patients.

Our study has clearly shown that the cytogenetics and R-ISS characteristics of Indian patients are different than western patients. Hence the future goal should be to conduct large scale multicentric, randomized control trials which will help us to clearly understand cytogenetic abnormalities and treatment outcome among Indian patients.

Conclusion

In our study, high risk cytogenetic abnormalities were less as compared to other Indian and western studies and del 17p13 was the most common high risk cytogenetic abnormality. This is the first Indian study where R-ISS system is used to stage the disease and found that patients with R-ISS stage III were significantly higher compared to western literature.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Dr. Asha Kamath, Department of Statistics, from Kasturba medical college, Manipal for statistical consultation on this work. We also acknowledge Sarjana Dutt, Director- Molecular Biology and R&D at Oncquest Laboratories Ltd.New Delhi, for guiding us in the methodology of FISH technique.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- Kapoor P, Fonseca R, Rajkumar V, Sina S, Gretz MA, Stewert K, Bergsagel PL,Lacy MQ, et al. Evidence of cytogenetic and FISH risk stratification of newly diagnosed MM in the era of novel therapies.Myo ClinProc. 2010, 6:532-537 [DOI:10.4065/mcp.2009.0677] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Fonseca R, Barlogie B, Bataille R, Bastard C, Bergsagel PL, Chesi M, et al. Genetics and cytogenetics of multiple myeloma: a workshop report. Cancer Res. 2004;64:1546-58. [DOI:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-2876] [PMID]
- Ross FM, Avet-Loiseau H, Ameye G, Gutiérrez NC, Liebisch P, O'Connor S, et al. Report from the European Myeloma Network on interphase FISH in multiple myeloma and related disorders. Haematologica. 2012;97:1272-7. [DOI:10.3324/haematol.2011.056176] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, Palumbo A, et al. International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol. 2014 Nov;15(12):e538-48 [DOI:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70442-5]
- Rajkumar SV. Updated Diagnostic Criteria and Staging System for Multiple Myeloma. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2016;35:e418-23. [DOI:10.14694/EDBK_159009] [PMID]
- Greipp PR, San Miguel J, Durie Bg, Crowley JJ et al. International staging system for multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23 [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2005.04.242] [PMID]
- Palumbo A, Avet-Loiseau H, Oliva S, et al. Revised International Staging System for Multiple Myeloma: A Report From International Myeloma Working Group. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(26):2863-9.
 [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2015.61.2267] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Avet-Loiseau H, Attal M, Moreau P, Charbonnel C, Garban F, Hulin C, et al. Genetic abnormalities and survival in multiple myeloma: the experience of the Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome. Blood. 2007;109:3489-95. [DOI:10.1182/blood-2006-08-040410] [PMID]
- Sawyer JR. The prognostic significance of cytogenetics and molecular profiling in multiple myeloma. Cancer Genet. 2011;204:3-12. [DOI:10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2010.11.002] [PMID]
- Amare PS, Jain H, Nikalje S, Sengar M, Menon H, Inamdar N, Subramanian PG, Gujral S, Shet T, Epari S, Nair R. Observation on frequency & clinico-pathological significance of various cytogenetic risk groups in multiple myeloma: an experience from India. The Indian journal of medical research. 2016 Oct;144(4):536.
- Kumar, S. K., Fonseca, R., Ketterling, R. P., Dispenzieri, A., Lacy, M., Gertz, M., ... Rajkumar, S. V. (2012). Trisomies in multiple myeloma: Impact on survival in patients with high-risk cytogenetics. Blood, 119(9), 2100-2105. [DOI:10.1182/blood-2011-11-390658] [PMID] [PMCID]

- Fonseca R, Blood E, Rue M, et al. Clinical and biologic implications of recurrent genomic berrations in myeloma. Blood.2003;101:4569-4575. [DOI:10.1182/blood-2002-10-3017] [PMID]
- Nemec P, Zemanova Z, Kuglik P, et al. Complex karyotype and translocation t(4;14) define patients with high-risk newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: results of CMG2002 trial. Leuk Lymphoma.2012;53:920-927.
 [DOI:10.3109/10428194.2011.634042] [PMID]
- Gadhia PK, Vaniawala S. Cytogenetics and FISH Studies in Multiple Myeloma-A Retrospective Study from Western India. American Journal of Current Biology. 2015 May 30;2(1):1-7. [DOI:10.18311/jhsr/2016/v1/i1/839]
- Gozzetti A, Frasconi A, Crupi R. Molecular cytogenetics of multiple myeloma. Austin J Cancer Clin Res. 2014 Dec;1(4):1020.
- WJ Chng, A Dispenzeiri, CS Chim, R Fonesca, H Goldschmidt, H Lentzsch.Review of IMWG consensus on risk stratification in multiple myeloma.Leukemia 2014;28: 269-277. [DOI:10.1038/leu.2013.247] [PMID]
- Avet-Louseau H, Daviet A, Sauner S, Bataille R. Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome. Chromosome 13 abnormalities in multiple myeloma are mostly monosomy 13. Br J Haematol. 2000; 111: 1116-1117. [DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.02488.x] [PMID]
- Garcia-Sanz R, Orfao A, Gonzalez M, Tabernero MD, Blade J, Moro MJ, et al. Primary plasma cell leukemia: clinical, immunophenotypic, DNA ploidy, and cytogenetic characteristics. Blood. 1999;93:1032-1037 [DOI:10.1182/blood.V93.3.1032] [PMID]
- Hoyer JD, Hanson CA, Fonseca R, Greipp PR, Dewald GW, Kurtin PJ. The (11;14)(q13;q32) translocation in multiple myeloma A morphologic andimmunohistochemical study. Am J Clin Pathol 2000; 113: 831-837. [DOI:10.1309/4W8E-8F4K-BHUP-UBE7] [PMID]
- 20. Garand R, Avet-Loiseau H, Accard F, Moreau P, Harousseau J, Bataille R .t(11;14) and t(4;14) translocations correlated with mature lymphoplasmocytoid and immature morphology, respectively, in multiple myeloma. Leukemia 2003;17: 2032-2035. [DOI:10.1038/sj.leu.2403091] [PMID]
- Jacob LA, Suresh Babu M C, Lakshmaiah K C, Babu K G, Lokanatha D, Rajeev L K, Lokesh K N, Rudresha A H, Agarwal A, Garg S. Multiple myeloma: Experience of an institute in limited resource setting. Indian J Cancer [serial online] 2017 [cited 2019 Feb 23];54:340-2 [DOI:10.4103/ijc.IJC 87_17] [PMID]
- Attal M, Lauwers-Cances V, Hulin C, Facon T, Caillot D, Escoffre M, et al. Autologous transplantation for multiple myeloma in the era of new drugs: A phase III study of the Intergroupe Francophone du Myelome (IFM/DFCI 2009 Trial). Blood 2015;126:391.
 [DOI:10.1182/blood.V126.23.391.391]
- 23. Chang H, Sloan S, Li D, Zhuang L, Yi QL, Chen CI et al. The t(4;14) is associated with poor prognosis in myeloma patients undergoing autologous stem cell transplant. Br J Haematol 2004; 125: 64-68. [DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.04867.x] [PMID]

- 24. Samu Kurki, Klaus Tamminen, Tatu Miettinen, Kari Remes et al. Prognostic Comparison Between ISS and R-ISS in Real-Life Setting of Myeloma Patients: An Example of Utilization of Electronic Biobank Database. Blood (2016) 128 (22): 5645
 [DOI:10.1182/blood.V128.22.5645.5645]
- Kastritis E, Terpos E, Roussou M, et al. Evaluation of the Revised International Staging System in an independent cohort of unselected patients with multiple myeloma. Haematologica. 2017;102(3):593-599.
 [DOI:10.3324/haematol.2016.145078] [PMID] [PMCID]

How to Cite This Article

Udupa, C., UDUPA, K., Pai, A., Sherigar, P. Cytogenetics and Revised International Staging System (R-ISS): Risk Stratification in Multiple myeloma - A Retrospective Study in Indian Population. *Iranian Journal of Pathology*, 2020;15 (3): 182-188. doi: 10.30699/ijp.2020.105128.2078